

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,331	10/23/2003	James L. Jones III	65783-0031	9124	
	7590 01/24/2007 MANI & CRAHER DI I C		EXAM	IINER	
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE			CAVALLAR	CAVALLARI, DANIEL J	
SUITE 140 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BEOOM IEEE	, mada, mi vasa vasa vasa		2836		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/692,331	JONES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel J. Cavallari	2836		

	Daniel J. Cavallari	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>8 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s)¹⁷⁻¹⁹ would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		-	•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prothe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>17-19</u> .	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-11-13,15,16,20-25,</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER I.I. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
·		i condition for allowal	ice because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
I3. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	Chang CHAU N. NGUYEI	yn			
	CHAU N. NGUYEI PRIMARY EXAMIN	Y HENNING TO A STATE OF THE STA	EANHO FANIFICA		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 13. Other: The examiner notes that the limitations argued by the applicant are not recited as such in the claims. For example, the applicant argues "As such, the arrangements as presently claimed selectively preserve power to a particular group or groups of load devise, while isolating other group(s) of load devices that receive power from the same node, the devices for which power is preserved being greater importance to vehicle safety than the devices which are isolated" although this may be true of the invention, these limitations are not recited in the claims as stated here in the arguments.